

Restorative Justice and Community Conferencing

Lauren Abramson, Ph.D.

Community Conferencing Center Baltimore, MD www.CommunityConferencing.org



We CAN do better.

Justice as healing, learning & accountability

Addressing Common Needs Expressed by Stakeholders

- Provide meaningful response to juvenile crime
- Address DMC issues
- Give victims a voice
- Better outcomes socially and fiscally
- Address root causes

Traditional Justice (Retributive)

Restorative Justice

The process is characterized by adversarial relationships managed by professionals (lawyers, judges, etc.)

Victims are peripheral to the process

The process is characterized by dialogue and negotiation among participants

Victims are central to the process

Focus on punishing the offender

Victims and community are represented by the state

Focus is on repairing the harm (to *relationships, physical, monetary, etc*), healing and learning

Victims and community are part of deciding outcomes for themselves

Restorative Practices

offer simple yet powerful structures for people to manage relationships and conflict in healthy ways

by allowing people to be human (emotional)

Foundational Principles of RJ

- There are no disposable people
- Respect
- Fairness
- Inclusion
 - Expert Culture: Leaves large stores of *untapped wisdom*
- Allow people to make decisions for themselves
- Honor our biology as human beings
 - Allow for emotion
 - Value connection
 - Space to tell our story

RJ gives us leverage in addressing racial bias and disparities

We need to use it more.

Dept. of Education, Office of Civil Rights Study of all 97,000 public schools (2014)

- "...pattern of inequality on a number of fronts, with race as the dividing factor."
- African American students suspended/expelled at 3x the rate of white students
- African American children make up 18% of preschool enrollment, but nearly 50% of preschool suspensions

The push back

- Too soft on crime. It let's kids off the hook.
- "Too touchy feely"
- What if they re-offend?
- Principals don't want to bring together any more than 2 people at a time. It get's too crazy.

Community Conferencing





What happened?

How have people been affected?

What can be done to

repair the harm

prevent it from happening again

video

Referral Sources

Where we use it

Anywhere there are people who are in conflict... for whatever reason

Criminal Justice

Court diversion, case planning following detention

• Schools

Alternative to school suspension and arrest (bullying, fights, hate crimes)

Neighborhoods

Ongoing, intractable conflicts

• Workplaces

Conflict, risk management, harassment, etc.

Human Services

Collaborative and inclusive case / tx planning

- Planning
- Re-integration (following military service, incarceration, etc.) Collectively understand impact; reconnect with network of support

Kinds of Cases

19,0000

Baltimore residents safely resolved their own crimes/conflicts using Community Conferencing



result in effective Agreements



less re-offending

1/1 Oth

the cost of court

This is not just for first time offenders!

Felony Diversion

After 1 year

Half as likely to re-offend

Felony Diversion

After 2 years

One-third as likely to re-offend



Youth diverted in Baltimore are African-American

RJ gives us leverage in addressing racial bias and disparities

We need to use it more.

video

The push back

- Too soft on crime. It let's kids off the hook.
- "Too touchy feely"
- What if they re-offend?
- Principals don't want to bring together any more than 2 people at a time. It get's too crazy.